

Bath & North East Somerset Council

MEETING:	Cabinet	
MEETING DATE:	8 th February 2012	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE:
		E 2367
TITLE:	Traffic Regulation Order – Various Roads Keynsham	
WARD:	Keynsham North, Keynsham South, Keynsham East	
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM		
List of attachments to this report: Appendix containing comments received during Public Consultation		

1 THE ISSUE

- 1.1 To consider the points raised during the public consultation of Traffic Regulation Order "Various Roads, Keynsham Order 2011" and decide whether to proceed with the proposed scheme.

2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet agrees that:

- 2.1 No Waiting at Any Time in Access Road to Tesco from Bristol Road, Access Road to Chandag Junior School, Chandag Road, Cranmore Avenue, Courtlands, Charlton Park, Charlton Road, Caernarvon Road, Caernarvon Close, Derwent Grove, Durley Hill, Dapps Hill, Holmoak Road, Kennet Road, Access Road to Tintagel Close (Kelston Road), Lambourn Road, Lockingwell Road, Northern Access Road to Wellsway School, Oak Tree Walk, Old Bristol Road, Queen's Road, Severn Way, St Ladoc Road.

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

- 2.2 No Loading in Chandag Road

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

- 2.3 No Waiting Mon-Fri, 8am-5pm in Chandag Road

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

2.4 Limited Waiting Mon-Sat, 8am-6pm (2 hours) in Chandag Road

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

2.5 Loading Bay in Chandag Road, High Street

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

2.6 Disabled Bay in West View Road Mon – Fri, 8am – 6pm

Recommendation - That the proposal is implemented as advertised because no objections were received to the proposal

2.7 School Keep Clears Mon-Fri, 8am-8pm in Chandag Road

Recommendation - That the proposal is modified to 8am – 6pm Monday to Friday only. This reduction in the times of the restriction is recommended due to feedback received from members of the public on the recent large number of school Keep Clear schemes introduced. It is accepted that whilst a number of evening events may happen within the school the overall numbers are low and that parking should also be available to the public outside of the main times of use by the school where appropriate. It is considered that changing the finish time to 6pm will not affect the aims of the scheme or safety of pupils and other road users.

2.8 Residents Permit Parking (Mon-Sat, 8am-6pm) in Chandos Road, Drylease, Summerlease, Priory Road, Dapps Hill, St Clements Road

Recommendation - That the schemes are not progressed and are not implemented as advertised. The schemes are considered to have no strategic merit, do not address safety or congestion issues and only relate to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against the schemes were low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 Funding for the scheme is estimated as between £4,620 – 5,544 although costs are expected to be towards the lower end of the estimate as we have recommended that the residents parking schemes do not progress and therefore signage requirements will be lower.

3.2 Funds are confirmed as available from within the Local Transport Plan Capital Budget.

3.3 The consultation process included Highways and no concerns were raised regarding on-going maintenance costs and these can be incorporated within the existing revenue budget.

4 CORPORATE PRIORITIES

Building communities where people feel safe and secure

Improving transport and the public realm

Responses shown in Appendix 1 to comments raised during the consultation process take account of the matters referred to above.

5 THE REPORT

- 5.1 The proposals were made to address the concerns of local residents due to increasing problems as a result of the growing number of vehicles parking in inappropriate places in the area throughout the day. These vehicles park in close proximity to junctions (causing visibility problems) and close to driveways (where vehicle access is affected).
- 5.2 The proposals are also designed to address the issues faced by both schools and businesses in the area and the impact on all users of the highway where these facilities exist.
- 5.3 Statute states the highway is for the passage and re-passage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no rights to park on the highway but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. The consideration of the objections to the introduction of controls has to be considered in this context. There is also no legal right to park on the highway either outside a property or even within a specific street.
- 5.4 Consideration needs to be given to the points raised in relation to the proposed scheme. These are set out in Appendix 1 together with officer comments and responses.
- 5.5 Parts of the proposed scheme were not objected to and these parts should therefore proceed without any change.
- 5.6 Within the Traffic Order Process is not possible to consider additions to the proposal at the consultation stage as some of the objectors are suggesting. Any new restrictions or additions have to be advertised and considered separately if deemed appropriate.

6 RISK MANAGEMENT

- 6.1 The report author and Cabinet member have fully reviewed the risk assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

7 EQUALITIES

- 7.1 The proposals will improve access to schools, businesses and residential properties in the area and improve pedestrian access at junctions by removing parking vehicles to allow full use of the pedestrian dropped kerb crossings, facilitating access for pedestrians with wheelchairs and pushchairs.

8 RATIONALE

8.1 The recommended restrictions are proposed so as to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or to prevent the likelihood of any such danger arising and to facilitate the passage on the road or any other road of any class or traffic.

9 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

9.1 None

10 CONSULTATION

10.1 Ward Councillors; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Stakeholders/Partners; Other Public Sector Bodies

10.2 The changes to parking restrictions proposals were advertised by erecting notices along the affected lengths of road for a 21 day period ending on 18th August 2011 and the Residents Parking Scheme proposals were advertised by erecting notices along the affected lengths of road for a 21 day period ending on 25th August 2011, inviting written comments to the proposal. At the same time a copy of the notice was placed in the Public Notice section of the local newspaper. Responses made are set out in the Appendix to this report.

11 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION

11.1 Customer Focus; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations

12 ADVICE SOUGHT

12.1 The Council's Monitoring Officer (Divisional Director – Legal and Democratic Services) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

Contact person	<i>Chris Major - 01225 394231</i>
Sponsoring Cabinet Member	<i>Councillor Roger Symonds</i>
Background papers	
Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format	

TRO CONSULTATION				
<p>This appendix contains the main points from the contacts received during the consultation process. The Executive Member has one of three possible courses of action, that is to:- Over rule the objection; Withdraw the proposal the objection refers to; Modify the proposals by reducing its effect.</p>				
Who	PEV Number	Comments Raised	Agree / Disagree with proposals	Points raised to answer comments
BS31 2BX	7651(Priory Road/Chandos Road Residents Parking Scheme)	Railway commuters causing the parking problems early in the morning.	Agree	Noted. This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Chandos Road	7651	People shouldn't have to pay to park outside their property. A Resident Parking zone could affect house prices.	Disagree	Noted. This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Chandos Road	7651	Shouldn't have to pay to park outside of property. Parking not an issue at the Fry Gates end of Chandos Road. Can't see why a Residents Parking Zone is needed. Consulting a lawyer for legal advice about parking rights outside of property.	Disagree	Noted. This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

BS31 2DA	7651	In the past the railway commuters were a cause for concern as they parked their vehicles on both sides of the road preventing refuse collections and restricting emergency vehicles access. However the recent single yellow lines have resolved this problem. No need to introduce Resident Parking.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Priory Road	7651	Residents have always worked together to overcome any parking issues and do not need any intervention by the council. The current system of 2 permits per house hold would penalise some residents as some properties have more than two vehicles registered.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	We have a driveway and therefore are not entitled to permits. We cannot get all our vehicles on our driveway.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	We have a driveway and therefore are not entitled to permits. We cannot get all our vehicles on our driveway.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

Priory Road	7651	Have a driveway and therefore not entitled to a permit. Cannot get all vehicles on driveway. The majority of Priory Road have driveways and would be excluded from the Resident Parking scheme.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	We have a driveway and therefore are not entitled to permits. We cannot get all our vehicles on our driveway.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

				residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Agrees to the implementation of a Residents Parking scheme at 2 permits per household at a cost of £30 per car per annum.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

BS31 2BX	7651	Commuter and High Street user's parked vehicles along Priory Road are causing a problem. Priory Road is a very narrow road with historic interest. Poor parking is creating safety concerns. The lack of pavement means more care is required when driving along Priory Road as residents have to walk in the road, Poorly parked vehicles makes this hazardous. Increased parking and vehicle use is damaging the road surface. The Council should offer free parking to rail users to encourage use of the car park facilities.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Bad commuter parking blocking or hindering access to emergency vehicles. Poor visibility when exiting Priory Road onto Chandos Road due to parked vehicles. Parked vehicles on either side of Chandos Road create a two way single track stretch of road. Some commuter vehicles are left over night and longer when people go away on business trips.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Chandos Road	7651	The original commuter parking problems have been resolved by the introduction of the single yellow line restrictions.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all

				residents.
Chandos Road	7651	Agree to the proposals but object to being asked to pay for permits.	Agree / Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Chandos Road	7651	In favour of a Residents Parking scheme	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars blocking drives on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BZ	7651	In favour of a Residents Parking scheme	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 2BX	7651	Commuters parking preventing residents from parking near their homes.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

BS31 1US	7650 (Dapps Hill)	Residents of Albert Mill would not be entitled to permits due to existing off street parking facilities. Not enough parking available at Albert Mill for both residents and visitors. How can a Residents Parking zone cover a road which is not adopted highway (Dapps Lane). The double yellow lines that were placed along Dapps Hill addressed the issue of emergency vehicle access. No new restrictions are required or wanted.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 1ES	7650	Don't wish to pay an annual fee to park outside property. The allocation of two permits per household is not sufficient. The council needs to plan for a greater provision of parking facilities to accommodate its staff and the increase of vehicles due to the redevelopment of the Cadbury site.	Disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
BS31 1EU	7650	The Residents Parking scheme should cover weekends, run until 8pm and be enforced vigorously. The main cause of the parking problem is residents of Albert Mill not using their off street parking	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all

		provision.		residents.
Dapps Hill	7650	Parking problems during the day along Dapps Hill are caused by council staff and High Street shoppers. This could be resolved by restricting parking between 9am and 4pm Monday to Friday. The second cause of the parking issues along Dapps Hill is from the Residents of Albert Mill not using their off street parking provision.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Dapps Hill	7650	The restriction times for the Residents Parking should be 9am to 4pm Monday to Friday only.	Agree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.
Dapps Hill	7650	The restriction times for the Residents Parking should be 9am to 4pm Monday to Friday only. Alternative schemes which would not require permits or costs to the residents should be considered as well.	Agree / disagree	This local waiting restriction scheme to prevent parked cars on a residential street has no strategic merit and no safety or congestion issues, and only relates to local people. Numbers of residents responding both in favour and against is low and no clear mandate can be found to progress the scheme which will have both a restrictive and fiscal impact on all residents.

BS31 1PP	7637 (Chandag Road proposals)	The Chandag Road proposals should address the issue of parked vehicles opposite Tamar Drive, which force vehicles onto the wrong side of the road around the bend. The proposed double yellow lines should also run further into Tamar Drive to allow better visibility for cars entering and exiting this road.	Agree with additional restrictions	The proposed restrictions are being implemented for road safety purposes
Tamar Drive	7637	Agree with proposals, however the new restrictions will have a knock on effect of forcing people to park in the side Streets off Chandag Road. Roads like Tamar Drive which already suffer with parking problems will have further chaos put upon them. This needs to be considered.	Agree	The proposed restrictions are being implemented for road safety purposes
Park Road	7637	The proposal to reduce the hours of restriction in the enforceable disabled bay on West View Road should be altered to allow unrestricted parking during the weekend as well while the children's centre is shut. Parking along West View Road is at a premium.	Agree with additional amendments	Agreed
West View Road	7637	The proposal to reduce the hours of restriction in the enforceable disabled bay on West View Road should be altered to allow unrestricted parking during the weekend as well while the	Agree with additional amendments	Agreed

		children's centre is shut. Parking along West View Road is at a premium.		
West View Road	7637	The proposal to reduce the hours of restriction in the enforceable disabled bay on West View Road should be altered to allow unrestricted parking during the weekend as well while the children's centre is shut. Parking along West View Road is at a premium.	Agree with additional amendments	Agreed
West View Road	7637	The proposal to reduce the hours of restriction in the enforceable disabled bay on West View Road should be altered to allow unrestricted parking during the weekend as well while the children's centre is shut. Parking along West View Road is at a premium.	Agree with additional amendments	Agreed